Single Bench

Cr. Writ

4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3829 / 2004

PETITIONER : Manish Kumar Sharma,
S/o Shri G.D. Sharma
Aged about 35 years,
City Superintendent of Police,
Kotwali Bilaspur, Distt.Bilaspur (CG)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Home Department (Police),
D.K.S Bhawan, Raipur, Dist
Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Director General of Police, Police Headquarter, Raipur, Districtt- Raipur-(C.G.)

3. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through the Secretary,
Home Department (Police),
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal
(MP).

4. The Director General of Police,
Police Headquarter, Bhopal,
~~Dist Raipur (CG)~~. C.M. P.)

5. The Secretary,
General Administration
Department,
Govt. of Madhya Pradesh,
State Reorganization Cell,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MP).

6. Union of India
Through the Secretary,
Govt. of India
Ministry of Personnel &
Public Grievances,
New Delhi

7. Shri Siddharth Chowdhari,
City Superintendent of Police,
Jabalapur (MP).

P.R. No. 3831/04
Presented by Shri P.R. Patankar
dated 11/10/04

RECEIVED COPY
11.10.04
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

R/c
11/10/04
Shri VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3829 **सन् 2004**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

16.11.2005

Shri P. Diwakar, Senior Advocate with Shri Kunal Das, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri P.S. Koshy, Deputy Advocate General for the respondents No. 1 to 5/State.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Senior Panel lawyer for the respondent No. 6/Union of India.

Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the respondent No. 7.

Shri P. Diwakar, learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that admittedly, the order of allocation of the petitioner and the respondent No. 7, dated 24.6.2005 has been passed on the basis of the mutual consent. However, the order states that the mutual allocation is provisional.

Learned counsel appearing for the State Government of Madhya Pradesh and the State of Chhattisgarh submits that the order of allocation dated 24.6.2005 is final so far as the petitioner and the respondent No. 7 are concerned and if

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.No. 3829..... **सन् 2004**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

necessary, an appropriate order would be passed by the Union of India.

In view of the above stated facts that Union of India should not have any objection, and as such that an appropriate order be passed by the Union of India.

In view of the foregoing, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy, as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge